arXiv:1502.04912v1 [math.CV] 14 Feb 2015

# SOME PROPERTIES OF A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY GENERALIZED STRUVE FUNCTIONS

MOHSAN RAZA$^A$ AND NIHAT YAĞMUR$^B$

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to define a new operator by using the generalized Struve functions $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-c/4)^n}{(3/2)_n(k)_n}z^{n+1}$ with $k=p+(b+2)/2\neq 0,-1,-2,\ldots$ and $b,c,k\in\mathbb{C}$. By using this operator we define a subclass of analytic functions. We discuss some properties of this class such as inclusion problems, radius problems and some other interesting properties related with this operator.

## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be the class of functions $f$ of the form

$$f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_nz^n, \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$. A function $f$ is said to be subordinate to a function $g$ written as $f\prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. In particular if $g$ is univalent in $E$, then $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(E)\subset g(E)$.

For any two analytic functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ with

$$f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}b_nz^{n+1} \text{ and } g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nz^{n+1},\ z\in E,$$

the convolution (Hadamard product) is given by

$$(f*g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}b_nc_nz^{n+1},\ z\in E.$$

Consider the second order inhomogeneous differential equation, for some details see [16],

$$z^2w''(z)+zw'(z)+\left(z^2-p^2\right)w(z)=\frac{4(z/2)^{p+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p+1/2)}. \tag{1.2}$$

The solution of the homogeneous part is Bessel functions of order $p$, where $p$ is real or complex number. The particular solution of the inhomogenious equation

---

*Date*: Received:

$^*$ Corresponding author

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C80, 33C10.

*Key words and phrases.* Analytic functions, Subordination, Generalized Struve Functions.

defined in (1.2) is called the struve function of order $p$. It is defined as

$$H_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n+p+1}}{\Gamma(n+3/2)\Gamma(p+n+3/2)}. \tag{1.3}$$

Now we consider the differential equation

$$z^2 w''(z) + zw'(z) - (z^2+p^2) w(z) = \frac{4(z/2)^{p+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p+1/2)} \tag{1.4}$$

The equation (1.4)differs from the equation (1.2) in the coefficients of $w(z)$. Its particular solution is called the modified struve functions of order $p$ and is given as

$$L_p(z) = -ie^{-ip\pi/2} H_p(iz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2n+p+1}}{\Gamma(n+3/2)\Gamma(p+n+3/2)}.$$

Again consider the second order inhomogenous differential equation

$$z^2 w''(z) + bzw'(z) + \left[cz^2 - p^2 + (1-b)p\right] w(z) = \frac{4(z/2)^{p+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p+b/2)}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $b, c, p \in \mathbb{C}$. The equation (1.5) generalizes the equation (1.2) and (1.4). In particular for $b = 1,\ c = 1$, we obtain (1.2) and for $b = 1,\ c = -1$, we obtain (1.4). Its particular solution has the series form

$$M_{p,b,c}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n c^n (z/2)^{2n+p+1}}{\Gamma(n+3/2)\Gamma(p+n+(b+2)/2)}. \tag{1.6}$$

and is called the generalized struve function of order $p$. This series is convergent every where but not univalent in the open unit disk $E$. We take the transformation

$$N_{p,b,c}(z) = 2^p\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p+(b+2)/2)\, z^{(-p-1)/2} M_{p,b,c}(\sqrt{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^n z^n}{(3/2)_n (k)_n}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $k = p+(b+2)/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$ and $(\gamma)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} = \gamma(\gamma+1)\ldots(\gamma+n-1)$. This function is analytic in the whole complex plane and satisfies the differential equation

$$4z^2 w''(z) + 2(2p+b+3) zw'(z) + [cz + 2p + b] w(z) = 2p + b.$$

Some geometric properties such as univalency, starlikeness, convexity, close-to-convexity of the function $N_{p,b,c}(z)$ has been studied recently by Orhan and Yagmur [10] and Yagmur and Orhan [14, 15].

Dziok and Srivastava [3, 4] defined the linear operator $H$ by using the generalized hypergeometric functions and is given as $H(\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_s; \beta_1, \ldots \beta_q) : A \to A$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}\,(i = 1, 2, \ldots, s)$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{Z}_0^-\,(i = 1, 2, \ldots, q)$ such that

$$H(\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_s; \beta_1, \ldots \beta_q) f(z) = z_s F_q(\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_s; \beta_1, \ldots \beta_q; z) * f(z),$$

where

$${}_sF_q(\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_s; \beta_1, \ldots \beta_q; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \ldots (\alpha_s)_n z^n}{(\beta_1)_n \ldots (\beta_q)_n n!}, \quad s \leq q+1; s, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$$

is the generalized hypergeometric function. Deniz [2] use similar argument to define a convolution operator $B_k^c : A \to A$ by using generalized Bessel functions and is given as

$$B_k^c f(z) = \varphi_{k,c}(z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^n a_{n+1} z^{n+1}}{(k)_n n!}, \quad \left(k = p + \frac{b+1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-, c \in \mathbb{C}\right),$$

where

$$\varphi_{k,c}(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^n z^{n+1}}{(k)_n n!}.$$

For some refrences for convolution operators see [11, 12, 13].

Now using (1.7), we define the following convolution operator. Let

$$\varphi_{p,b,c}(z) = 2^p \sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p + (b+2)/2) z^{(-p+1)/2} M_{p,b,c}(\sqrt{z}) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^n z^{n+1}}{(3/2)_n (k)_n}$$

Then

$$S_k^c f(z) = \varphi_{p,b,c}(z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^n a_{n+1} z^{n+1}}{(3/2)_n (k)_n} \quad \left(k = p + \frac{b+2}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-, b, c, p \in \mathbb{C}\right). \tag{1.8}$$

It can easily be seen that

$$z\left(S_{k+1}^c f(z)\right)' = k S_k^c f(z) - (k-1) S_{k+1}^c f(z). \tag{1.9}$$

**Special cases**

(i) For $b = 1,\ c = 1$, we have the operator $\mathcal{S}_p : A \to A$ related with struve function of order $p$. It is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_p f(z) &= \varphi_{p,1,1}(z) * f(z) = \left[2^p \sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p+3/2) z^{(-p+1)/2} M_{p,1,1}(\sqrt{z})\right] * f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/4)^n a_{n+1} z^{n+1}}{(3/2)_n (p+3/2)_n} \end{aligned}$$

and the recursive relation

$$z\left[\mathcal{S}_{p+1} f(z)\right]' = (p+3/2)\mathcal{S}_p f(z) - (p+1/2)\mathcal{S}_{p+1} f(z)$$

holds.

(ii) For $b = 1,\ c = -1$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{S}_p : A \to A$ related with modified struve function of order $p$. It is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_p f(z) &= \varphi_{p,1,-1}(z) * f(z) = \left[2^p \sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p+3/2) z^{(-p+1)/2} M_{p,1,-1}(\sqrt{z})\right] * f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1/4)^n a_{n+1} z^{n+1}}{(3/2)_n (p+3/2)_n} \end{aligned}$$

and the recursive relation

$$z\left[\mathfrak{S}_{p+1} f(z)\right]' = (p+3/2)\mathfrak{S}_p f(z) - (p+1/2)\mathfrak{S}_{p+1} f(z)$$

holds.

We define the following class of analytic functions by using the operator $S_k^c f(z)$.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $f \in A$. Then $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}(\lambda, \mu, \phi)$ for $0 < \mu < 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, k = p + (b+2)/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots, b, c, p \in \mathbb{C}$, and $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, if and only if

$$e^{i\alpha}\left\{(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda\frac{S_{k}^{c}f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} \prec \cos\alpha\phi(z) + i\sin\alpha, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\phi(z)$ is a convex univalent function with $\phi(0) = 1$.

(i) For $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, we have the class $N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda, \mu, \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$, which

consists of functions $f$ such that

$$J(\alpha, c, k, f(z)) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & J(\alpha, c, k, f(z)) \\ = & \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left\{(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda\frac{S_{k}^{c}f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} - i\sin\alpha\right]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, we have the class $N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda, \mu, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$. That is $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda, \mu, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ if

$$J(\alpha, c, k, f(z)) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Since it is well known that for a function $p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$. This implies that $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda, \mu, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ if

$$\operatorname{Re} J(\alpha, c, k, f(z)) > 0.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** [8] *Let $F$ be analytic and convex in $E$. If $f, g \in A$ and $f, g \prec F$. Then*

$$\sigma f + (1-\sigma) g \prec F, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1.$$

**Lemma 1.3.** [5] *Let $h$ be convex in $E$ with $h(0) = a$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\beta \geq 0$. If $p \in H[a, n]$ and*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta} \prec h(z),$$

*then $p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z)$, where*

$$q(z) = \frac{\beta}{nz^{\beta/n}}\int_{0}^{z} h(t)\, t^{\beta/n-1} dt$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

**Lemma 1.4.** [1]. *Let $a,\ b$ and $c \neq 0,-1,-2\ldots$ be complex numbers. Then, for* $\operatorname{Re} c > \operatorname{Re} b > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad {}_2F_1(a,b,c;z) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-b)\Gamma(b)}\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-tz)^{-a}\,dt,\\
(ii) \quad {}_2F_1(a,b,c;z) &= {}_2F_1(b,a,c;z),\\
(iii) \quad {}_2F_1(a,b,c;z) &= (1-z)^{-a}\ {}_2F_1\left(a,c-b,c;\frac{z}{z-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.5.** [7] *Let $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$. Then*

$$\frac{1+A_2z}{1+B_2z} \prec \frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}.$$

**Lemma 1.6.** [9] *Let the function $g(z)$ be analytic and univalent in $E$ and let the functions $\theta(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $g(E)$, with $\theta(w) \neq 0$ $(w \in g(E))$. Set*
*$Q(z) = zg'(z)\varphi(g(z))$ and $h(z) = \theta(g(z)) + Q(z)$ and suppose that*
*(i) $Q(z)$is univalently starlike in $E$*
*(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta'(g(z))}{\varphi(g(z))} + \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} > 0$ $(z \in E)$. If $q(z)$ is analytic in $E$ with $q(0) = g(0), q(E) \subset D$ and*

$$\theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \theta(g(z)) + zg'(z)\varphi(g(z)) = h(z)\ (z \in E),$$

*then $q(z) \prec g(z)$ $(z \in E)$ and $g(z)$ is the best dominant.*

## 2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}(\lambda,\mu,\phi)$. Then for $Re\frac{\mu k}{\lambda} \geq 0$,*

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\cos\alpha z^{-\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}}\int_0^z \phi(t)\,t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt + i\sin\alpha \prec (\cos\alpha)\,\phi(z) + i\sin\alpha.$$

*This result is the best possible.*

*Proof.* Consider

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - i\sin\alpha\right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $p$ is analytic in $E$ with $p(0) = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} = (\cos\alpha)\,p(z) + i\sin\alpha.$$

Differentiating both sides and using (1.9) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda(\cos\alpha)\,zp'(z)}{\mu k} = \lambda e^{i\alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \frac{S_k^{c}f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}.$$

It follows from above equation and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & p(z)+\frac{\lambda}{\mu k} z p'(z) \\ = & \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left\{(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-\lambda \frac{S_{k}^{c} f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}-i\sin\alpha\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}(\lambda,\mu,\phi)$, therefore

$$p(z)+\frac{\lambda}{\mu k} z p'(z) \prec \phi(z).$$

Now using Lemma 1.3 for $\beta=\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}$ with $Re\frac{\mu k}{\lambda} \geq 0$, we obtain the required result.

□

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda,\mu,\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$. Then for $k,\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{\mu k}{\lambda} \geq 0$,*

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec h(z)\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha,$$

*where*

$$h(z)=\begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1+Bz)^{-1}\ {}_2F_1\left(1,1,\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}+1;\frac{Bz}{1+Bz}\right), & B\neq 0,\\ 1+\frac{\mu k}{\mu k+\lambda}Az, & B=0.\end{cases}$$

*Further*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right] > (\cos\alpha)\,h(-1).$$

*Proof.* Since $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda,\mu,\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$, therefore from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}(\cos\alpha) z^{-\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \frac{1+At}{1+Bt} t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1} dt+i\sin\alpha. \tag{2.2}$$

Putting $t=zu$ and after simple calculations, one can get

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \left\{\frac{A}{B}+\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)\int_0^1 (1+Buz)^{-1} u^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1} dt\right\}\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha.$$

Now using Lemma 1.4 for $a=1,\ b=\frac{\mu k}{\lambda},\ c=b+1$ and $B\neq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \\ \prec & \left(\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1+Bz)^{-1}\ {}_2F_1\left(1,1,\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}+1;\frac{Bz}{1+Bz}\right)\right)\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For the case $B=0$. It can easily be followed from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} &\prec \left(\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}(1+Atz)\,t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right)\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha.\\
&= \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{1}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right)+\int_{0}^{1}Azt^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}}dt\right\}\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha.\\
&= \left\{1+\frac{\mu k}{\mu k+\lambda}Az\right\}\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have to prove that $\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right]>(\cos\alpha)\,h(-1)$. From (2.2), we can have this relation by using subordination

$$\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-i\sin\alpha\right\}=h(w(z)),$$

where $h(z)=\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}z^{-\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}}\int_{0}^{z}\frac{1+At}{1+Bt}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}\right] &= \operatorname{Re}\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1+Atw(z)}{1+Btw(z)}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\\
&> \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1-At}{1-Bt}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\\
&= h(-1).
\end{aligned}$$

To show that this result is sharp, we have to prove that $\inf\limits_{|z|<1}\{\operatorname{Re}h(z)\}=h(-1)$. Now

$$\operatorname{Re}h(z)\geq\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}\frac{1-Atr}{1-Btr}dt=h(-r).$$

Therefore $h(-r)\to h(-1)$ as $r\to 1^{-}$. □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let* $e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\prec\phi(z)\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha$ *with* $\phi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$*. Then* $f\in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}(\lambda,\mu,\phi(z))$ *for* $|z|=r<-c+\sqrt{c^{2}+1}$*, where* $c=\left|\frac{\lambda}{\mu k}\right|$.

*Proof.* Let

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}=p(z)\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha,$$

where $p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$. Then from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu k} z p'(z) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left\{(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda \frac{S_{k}^{c} f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} - i\sin\alpha\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, then it is well known that see [6]

$$\frac{1-r}{1+r} \le \operatorname{Re} p(z) \le |p(z)| \le \frac{1+r}{1-r} \text{ and } |zp'(z)| \le \frac{2r \operatorname{Re} p(z)}{1-r^{2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left\{(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda \frac{S_{k}^{c} f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} - i\sin\alpha\right] \\ \ge \; & \operatorname{Re} p(z) - \left|\frac{\lambda}{\mu k}\right| |zp'(z)| \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left\{(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda \frac{S_{k}^{c} f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} - i\sin\alpha\right] \\ \ge \; & \operatorname{Re} p(z) - \frac{2cr \operatorname{Re} p(z)}{1-r^{2}} \\ = \; & \operatorname{Re} p(z) \frac{1-r^{2}-2cr}{1-r^{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, therefore $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$. This implies that $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}(\lambda, \mu, \phi(z))$ for $r < -c + \sqrt{c^{2}+1}$. This result is sharp for the function $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$. □

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let* $0 < \mu < 1$, $k = p + (b+2)/2 \ne 0, -1, -2, \ldots, b, c, p \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then*

$$N_{k,c}^{0}(\lambda_2, \mu, \phi) \subset N_{k,c}^{0}(\lambda_1, \mu, \phi), \; 0 \le \lambda_1 < \lambda_2.$$

*Proof.* Since $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}(\lambda_2, \mu, \phi)$, therefore we have

$$h_1(z) = (1+\lambda_2)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda_2 \frac{S_{k}^{c} f(z)}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \phi(z).$$

From Theorem 2.1 for $\alpha = 0$, we write

$$h_2(z) = \left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c} f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \phi(z), \; z \in E.$$

Now for $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+\lambda_1)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda_1 \frac{S_k^c f(z)}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \\ = \; & (1-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \\ & \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\left\{(1+\lambda_2)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda_2 \frac{S_k^c f(z)}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} \\ = \; & \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} h_1(z) + (1-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}) h_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

Using the convexity of the class of the functions $\phi(z)$ and Lemma 1.2, we write

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} h_1(z) + (1-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}) h_2(z) \prec \phi(z), \;\; z \in E,$$

This implies that $f \in N_{k,c}^0(\lambda_1, \mu, \phi)$. Hence the proof of the theorem is complete. □

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let* $0 < \mu < 1, \;\; k = p + (b+2)/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots, b, c, p \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then for* $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$,

$$N_{k,c}^0\left(\lambda_2, \mu, \frac{1+A_2 z}{1+B_2 z}\right) \subset N_{k,c}^0\left(\lambda_1, \mu, \frac{1+A_1 z}{1+B1z}\right), \;\; 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2, \; z \in E.$$

*Proof.* Let $f \in N_{k,c}^0\left(\lambda_2, \mu, \frac{1+A_2 z}{1+B_2 z}\right)$. Then

$$h_1(z) = (1+\lambda_2)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda_2 \frac{S_k^c f(z)}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+A_2 z}{1+B_2 z}.$$

Since $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$, therefore by Lemma 1.5, we have

$$h_1(z) = (1+\lambda_2)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda_2 \frac{S_k^c f(z)}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+A_1 z}{1+B_1 z}.$$

Theorem 2.1 implies for $\phi(z) = \frac{1+A_1 z}{1+B_1 z}$ that

$$h_2(z) = \left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+A_1 z}{1+B_1 z}.$$

Now for $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+\lambda_1)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda_1 \frac{S_k^c f(z)}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \\ = \; & (1-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \\ & \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\left\{(1+\lambda_2)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda_2 \frac{S_k^c f(z)}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^c f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} \\ = \; & \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} h_1(z) + (1-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}) h_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

Using the convexity of the function $\frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}$ with Lemma 1.2, we write

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}h_1(z)+(1-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})h_2(z)\prec\frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z},\quad z\in E,$$

This implies that $f\in N^0_{k,c}\left(\lambda_1,\mu,\frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}\right)$. □

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let* $f\in N^0_{k,c}(\lambda,\mu,\phi)$, $0<\mu<1$, $k=p+(b+2)/2\neq 0,-1,-2,\dots,b,c,p\in\mathbb{C}$ *and* $\lambda\leq-1$. *Then*

$$\frac{S^c_kf(z)}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\prec\phi(z).$$

*Proof.* Since $f\in N^0_{k,c}(\lambda,\mu,\phi)$, therefore we have

$$(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-\lambda\frac{S^c_kf(z)}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\prec\phi(z).$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\frac{S^c_kf(z)}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} &= (1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\frac{S^c_kf(z)}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\\ &\quad-(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{S^c_kf(z)}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu} &= \left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\\ &\quad-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\{(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\frac{S^c_kf(z)}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2.1, Lemma 1.2 and the convexity of $\phi(z)$ with $\lambda\leq-1$, we have the required result. □

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let* $f\in N^{\alpha}_{k,c}(\lambda,\mu,h)$, $h(z)=\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}+\frac{\lambda\mu}{k}\frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2}$. *Then for* $\operatorname{Re}\frac{\lambda}{\mu k}>0$,

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\prec(\cos\alpha)\,\phi(z)+i\sin\alpha.$$

*where* $\phi(z)=\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$. *This result is the best possible.*

*Proof.* Consider

$$p(z)=\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-i\sin\alpha\right\}.$$

Then $p$ is analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$. Therefore, we have

$$e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S^c_{k+1}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}=(\cos\alpha)\,p(z)+i\sin\alpha.$$

Differentiating both sides, using (1.9) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda\left(\cos\alpha\right)zp'\left(z\right)}{\mu k}=\lambda e^{i\alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}-\frac{S_{k}^{c}f\left(z\right)}{S_{k+1}^{c}f\left(z\right)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}.$$

It follows from above equation and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}&p\left(z\right)+\frac{\lambda}{\mu k}zp'\left(z\right)\\ =\;&\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left[e^{i\alpha}\left\{\left(1+\lambda\right)\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}-\lambda\frac{S_{k}^{c}f\left(z\right)}{S_{k+1}^{c}f\left(z\right)}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}-i\sin\alpha\right].\end{aligned}$$

Since $f\in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda,\mu,h\right)$, therefore

$$p\left(z\right)+\frac{\lambda}{\mu k}zp'\left(z\right)\prec h\left(z\right).$$

Now we choose $g\left(z\right)=\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$, then $\theta(w)=w$ and $\varphi(w)=\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}$. It is clear that $g\left(z\right)$ is analytic in $E$ with $g\left(0\right)=1$. Also $\theta(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ are analytic with $\theta(w)\neq0$.

We see that

$$Q\left(z\right)=zg'(z)\varphi(g(z))=\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\frac{\left(A-B\right)z}{\left(1+Bz\right)^{2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

We have to prove that $Q\left(z\right)$ is starlike. In other words we show that $\operatorname{Re}\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}>0$. From (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re}\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}&=\operatorname{Re}\left\{1-\frac{2Bz}{1+Bz}\right\}\\&=1-2B\operatorname{Re}\frac{re^{i\psi}}{1+Bre^{i\psi}}\qquad\left(z=re^{i\psi}\right)\\&=\frac{1-B^{2}r^{2}}{\left(1+Br\cos\psi\right)^{2}+B^{2}r^{2}\sin^{2}\psi}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $-1\leq B<1$, $r<1$. This implies that $\operatorname{Re}\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}>0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re}\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}&=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta'(g(z))}{\varphi(g(z))}+\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}\\&=\operatorname{Re}\frac{\lambda}{\mu k}+\operatorname{Re}\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}>0.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.6, we have $e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\prec\left(\cos\alpha\right)\phi\left(z\right)+i\sin\alpha$. The function $\phi\left(z\right)=\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best possible. □

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let* $f\in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda,\mu,\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$. *Then for* $k,\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\geq0$,

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)\ {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1,\frac{\mu k}{\lambda},\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}+1;B\right), & B\neq0,\\ 1-\frac{\mu k}{\mu k+\lambda}A, & B=0.\end{array}\right\}$$

$$<\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}<\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)\ {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1,\frac{\mu k}{\lambda},\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}+1;-B\right), & B\neq0,\\ 1+\frac{\mu k}{\mu k+\lambda}A, & B=0.\end{array}\right.$$

*Proof.* Since $f \in N_{k,c}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda, \mu, \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$, therefore by using (2.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} \prec \operatorname{Re}\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\frac{1+Atz}{1+Btz}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt.$$

It follows from the definition of subordination that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} &< \sup_{|z|<1}\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\frac{1+Atz}{1+Btz}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right\} \\
&\leq \left\{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\sup_{|z|<1}\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1+Atz}{1+Btz}\right\}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right\} \\
&< \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\frac{1+At}{1+Bt}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt \\
&= \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\left\{A/B+\left(\frac{1-A/B}{1+Bt}\right)\right\}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 1.4 for the case $B \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} < \frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)\ {}_2F_1\left(1,\frac{\mu k}{\lambda},\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}+1;-B\right).$$

When $B=0$, it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} &< \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}(1+At)\,t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt \\
&= 1+\frac{\mu k}{\mu k+\lambda}A.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S_{k+1}^{c}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} &> \inf_{|z|<1}\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\frac{1+Atz}{1+Btz}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right\} \\
&\geq \left\{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\inf_{|z|<1}\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1+Atz}{1+Btz}\right\}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right\} \\
&> \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\frac{1-At}{1-Bt}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt \\
&= \frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int\limits_{0}^{1}\left\{A/B+\left(\frac{1-A/B}{1-Bt}\right)\right\}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using again Lemma 1.4, we have the required result. ☐

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let* $f\in N^{\alpha}_{k,c}\left(\lambda,\mu,\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$. *Then for* $k,\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\geq 0$,

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} \frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)\ {}_2F_1\left(1,\frac{\mu k}{\lambda},\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}+1;Br\right), & B\neq 0,\\ 1-\frac{\mu k}{\mu k+\lambda}A, & B=0. \end{array}\right\}$$

$$\leq \left|\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S^{c}_{k+1}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}-i\sin\alpha\right| \leq \left\{\begin{array}{ll} \frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)\ {}_2F_1\left(1,\frac{\mu k}{\lambda},\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}+1;-Br\right), & B\neq 0,\\ 1+\frac{\mu k}{\mu k+\lambda}A, & B=0. \end{array}\right.$$

*Proof.* Since $f\in N^{\alpha}_{k,c}\left(\lambda,\mu,\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$, therefore by using (2.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S^{c}_{k+1}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}-i\sin\alpha\right\}\prec\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1+Atz}{1+Btz}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt.$$

It follows from the definition of subordination that

$$\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S^{c}_{k+1}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}-i\sin\alpha\right\}=\left\{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1+Atw\left(z\right)}{1+Btw\left(z\right)}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right\},$$

where $w\left(z\right)=c_1z+c_2z^2+\ldots$ is analytic and $\left|w\left(z\right)\right|\leq\left|z\right|$. Therefore

$$\left|\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}\left\{e^{i\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{S^{c}_{k+1}f\left(z\right)}\right)^{\mu}-i\sin\alpha\right\}\right|\leq\left\{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1+Atr}{1+Btr}t^{\frac{\mu k}{\lambda}-1}dt\right\}.$$

Now using the same process as in the theorem above, we get the required result. ☐

## References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Dover Publications, New York, 1971.

[2] E. Deniz, Differential subordination and superordination results for an operator associated with the generalized bessel functions, arXiv:1204.0698, (2012).

[3] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 14(2003), 7-18.

[4] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput., 103(1) (1999), 1-13.

[5] D. J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 52(1975) 191-195.

[6] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1983.

[7] M.-S. Liu, On a subclass of p-valent close-to-convex functions of order $\beta$ and type $\alpha$, J. Math. Study, 30(1997) 102-104(in chinese).

[8] M.-S. Liu, On certain subclass of analytic functions, J. South. China Normal Univ, 4(2002) 15-20 (in chinese).

[9] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 225, Marcel Dekker, NewYork, 2000.

[10] H. Orhan and N. Yağmur,Geometric properties of generalized Struve functions, The Scientific Annals of "Al.I. Cuza" University of Iasi (accepted).

[11] R. K. Raina and P. Sharma, Harmonic univalent functions associated with Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 22 (2011), 561-572.

[12] Z. Shareef, S. Hussain and M. Darus, Convolution operators in the geometric function theory, J. Inequal. Appl., (2012) 11 pages.

[13] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and differential subordination. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18(2007), 207-216.

[14] N. Yagmur and H. Orhan, Hardy space of generalized Struve functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. (2013), 9 pages.

[15] N. Yagmur and H. Orhan, Starlikeness and convexity of generalized Struve functions, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2013), Article ID 954513, 6 pages.

[16] S. Zhang and J. Jin, Computation of Special Functions. Wiley Interscience Publication, New York, 1996.

[a]Department of Mathematics, GC University Faisalabad, Pakistan.
*E-mail address*: mohsan976@yahoo.com

[b]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Art, Erzincan University, Erzincan, 24000, Turkey.
*E-mail address*: nhtyagmur@gmail.com